

DALHOUSIE COMPUTATIONAL NEUROSCIENCE GROUP

Studying Minds

Reinforcement learning



Thomas Trappenberg

1. 50 1

Three kinds of learning:

1. Supervised learning

Detailed teacher that provides desired output **y** for a given input x: training set {**x**,**y**}

 \rightarrow find appropriate mapping function **y**=h(**x**;**w**) [= W ϕ (**x**)]

2. Unsupervised Learning

Unlabeled samples are provided from which the system has to figure out good representations: training set $\{x\}$ \rightarrow find sparse basis functions b_i so that $x=\Sigma_i c_i b_i$

3. Reinforcement learning

Delayed feedback from the environment in form of reward/ punishment when reaching state **s** with action **a**: reward r(s,a) \rightarrow find optimal policy $a=\pi^*(s)$

Most general learning circumstances

Maximize expected Utility $\hat{\pi} = \arg \max_{\pi} \sum_{o} U(o) p(o|\pi)$



2. Reinforcement learning

3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	+1
2	-0.1		-0.1	-1
1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	1	2	3	4

From Russel and Norvik

Markov Decision Process (MDP)

$$(S, A, T(s'|s, a), R(r|s, a), \theta)$$

- S is a set of states.
- A is a set of actions.
- T(s'|s, a) is a transition probability, for reaching state s' when taking action a from state s. This transition probability only depends on the previous state, which is called the Markov condition; hence the name of the process.
- R(r|s) is the probability of receiving **reward** when getting to state s. This quantity provides feedback from the environment. r is a numeric value with positive values indicating reward and negative values indicating punishment.
- θ are specific parameters for some of the different kinds of RL settings. This
 will be the discount factor γ in our first examples.

Two important quantities

policy: $\pi(a|s)$

value function:

$$Q^{\pi}(s,a) = E\{r(s) + \gamma r(s_1) + \gamma^2 r(s_2) + \gamma^3 r(s_3) + \dots\}_{\pi}$$

Goal: maximize total expected payoff

$$Q^*(s,a) = \max_{\pi} Q^{\pi}(s,a)$$

Optimal Control

$$\pi^*(a|s) = rg\max_{\pi} Q^{\pi}(s,a)$$

Calculate value function (dynamic programming)

Deterministic policies to simplify notation $Q^{\pi}(s,a) = V^{\pi}(s)$

$$\begin{split} V^{\pi}(s) &= E\{r(s) + \gamma r(s_1) + \gamma^2 r(s_2) + \gamma^3 r(s_3) + \ldots\}_{\pi} \\ &= E\{r(s)\}_{\pi} + \gamma E\{r(s_1) + \gamma r(s_2) + \gamma^2 r(s_3) + \ldots\}_{\pi} \\ &= r(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} T(s'|s, a) E\{r(s') + \gamma R(s'_1) + \gamma^2 R(s'_2) + \ldots\}_{\pi} \\ V^{\pi}(s) &= r(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} T(s'|s, a) V^{\pi}(s'). \end{split}$$

Bellman Equation for policy $\boldsymbol{\pi}$



Solution:AnalyticorIncremental $\mathbf{r} = (\mathbbm{1} - \gamma \mathbf{T}) \mathbf{V}^{\pi}$ $\mathbf{V} \leftarrow \mathbf{r} + \gamma \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}$ Richard Bellman $\mathbf{V}^{\pi} = (\mathbbm{1} - \gamma \mathbf{T})^{-1} \mathbf{r}^{t}$ $\mathbf{V} \leftarrow \mathbf{r} + \gamma \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}$ 1920-19841920-1984 $\mathbf{V}^{\pi} = (\mathbbm{1} - \gamma \mathbf{T})^{-1} \mathbf{r}^{t}$ $\mathbf{V} \leftarrow \mathbf{r} + \gamma \mathbf{T} \mathbf{V}$

Remark on different formulations:

Some (like Sutton, Alpaydin, but not Russel & Norvik) define the value as the reward <u>at the next state</u> plus all the following reward:

$$V^{\pi}(s) = \sum_{s'} (r(s') + \gamma T(s'|s, a) V^{\pi}(s'))$$

instead of

$$V^{\pi}(s) = r(s) + \gamma \sum_{s'} T(s'|s, a) V^{\pi}(s').$$

Policy Iteration

Choose initial policy and value function Repeat until policy is stable { 1. Policy evaluation Repeat until change in values is sufficiently small { For each state { Calculate the value of neighbouring states when taking ` action according to current policy. Update estimate of optimal value function. } each state } convergence 2. Policy improvement new policy according to equation 10.21, assuming $V^* \approx \text{current } V^{\pi}$

} policy

Value Iteration

Bellman Equation for optimal policy

$$V^*(s) = r(s) + \max_a \gamma \sum_{s'} T(s'|s, a) V^*(s')$$

Choose initial estimate of optimal value function Repeat until change in values is sufficiently small { For each state { Calculate the maximum expected value of neighbouring states for each possible action. Use maximal value of this list to update estimate of optimal value function. } each state } convergence Calculate optimal value function from equation 10.21

Solution:



But:

Environment not known a priori \rightarrow Online (TD)Observability of states \rightarrow POMDPCurse of Dimensionality \rightarrow Model-based RL

POMDP:

Partially observable MDPs can be reduced to MDPs by considering believe states b:

$$Q(s,a) = r + \sum_{b'} \gamma P(b'|b,a) Q(s',a)$$

What if the environment is not completely known? Online value function estimation (TD learning)

If the environment is not known, use Monte Carlo method with bootstrapping



Expected reward after taking step = actual reward plus discounted expected payoff of next step

Temporal Difference

Online optimal control: Exploitation versus Exploration

$$\epsilon\text{-greedy policy} \quad \pi(a = \arg \max_{a} Q(s, a)) = \epsilon$$
softmax policy
$$\pi(a|s) = \frac{e^{Q(s,a)}}{\sum_{a'} e^{Q(s,a')}}$$

On-policy TD learning: Sarsa $Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + \alpha \{r(s) + \gamma Q(s',a') - Q(s,a)\}$

Off-policy TD learning: Q-learning

 $Q(s,a) \leftarrow Q(s,a) + \alpha \{r(s) + \max_{a'} \gamma Q(s',a') - Q(s,a)\}$

Model-based RL: TD(1)

Instead of tabular methods as mainly discussed before, use function approximator with parameters θ and gradient descent step (Satton 1988):

$$V_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \approx V_t(\mathbf{x}_t; \theta)$$

For example by using a neural network with weights θ and corresponding delta learning rule

$$\Delta heta = lpha \sum_{t=1}^m (r-V_t) rac{\partial V_t}{\partial heta}.$$

when updating the weights after an episode of m steps. The only problem is that we receive the feedback r only after the t-th step. So we need to keep a memory (trace) of the sequence.

Model-based RL: TD(1) ... alternative formulation

We can write

$$r - V_t = \sum_{k=t}^{m} (V_{k+1} - V_k)$$

An putting this into the formula and rearranging the sum gives

$$egin{aligned} \Delta heta &= lpha \sum_{t}^{m} \sum_{k=t}^{m} (V_{k+1} - V_k) rac{\partial V_t}{\partial heta} \ &= lpha \sum_{t=1}^{m} (V_{t+1} - V_t) \sum_{k=1}^{t} rac{\partial V_k}{\partial heta} \end{aligned}$$

We still need to keep the cumulative sum of the derivative terms, but otherwise it looks already closer to bootstrapping.

Model-based RL: $TD(\lambda)$

We now introduce a new algorithm by weighting recent gradients more than ones in the distance

$$\Delta_t \theta = lpha (V_{t+1} - V_t) \sum_{k=1}^t \lambda^{t-k} \frac{\partial V_k}{\partial \theta}$$

This is called the TD(λ) rule. For $\lambda=1$ we recover the TD(1) rule. Interesting is also the the other extreme of TD(0)

$$\Delta_t heta = lpha (V_{t+1} - V_t) rac{\partial V_t}{\partial heta}$$

Which uses the prediction of V(t+1) as supervision signal for step t. Otherwise this is equivalent to supervised learning and can easily be generalized to hidden layer networks.

Free-Energy-Based RL:

This can be generalized to Boltzmann machines (Sallans & Hinton 2004)

Paul Hollensen:

Sparse, topographic RBM successfully learns to drive the e-puck and avoid obstacles, given training data (proximity sensors, motor speeds)







Classical Conditioning





Ivan Pavlov 1849-1936 Nobel Prize 1904

Rescorla-Wagner Model (1972)

$$\Delta V_i = \alpha_i \beta (\lambda - \Sigma V_j)$$





Wolfram Schultz







Disorders with effects On dopamine system:

Parkinson's disease Tourett's syndrome ADHD Drug addiction Schizophrenia

Conclusion and Outlook

Three basic categories of learning:

Supervised: Lots of progress through statistical learning theory Kernel machines, graphical models, etc

Unsupervised: Hot research area with some progress, deep temporal learning

Reinforcement: Important topic in animal behavior, model-based RL